UNCLASSIFIED
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Classification

4 IT-US
070660

Department of State

TELEGRAM

INDICATE:
☐ COLLECT
☐ CHARGE TO

6 MAY 70 23 22Z

DISTRIBUTION

ACTION: Amembassy ROME PRIORITY
Amembassy LISBON PRIORITY

STATE

SUBJECT: Italian Negotiations

LISBON FOR USDEL

REF: STATE 69798

DEPARTMENT OF STATE A/CDC/MR
REVIEWED BY _____ DATE 25 MAY 1982
RDS☐or XDS☐EXT. DATE _____
TS AUTH. _____ REASON(S) _____
ENDORSE EXISTING MARKINGS ☐
DECLASSIFIED☐ RELEASABLE☒
RELEASE DENIED☐
PA or FOI EXEMPTIONS _____

070660

1. Following language suggested for USDEL consideration on multiple designation question: "US may designate new carriers to provide air service between the US and Italy, but before a new carrier starts operating the US will consult with Italy concerning appropriate compensation." Reference to carriers could be made singular, but we suggest starting with plural.

2.. Re points for Italy, instructions in para 1(D) reftel relating to number of points are amended as follows. Based in large part on deep domestic US carrier concern, Italy should be offered no more than six points. While we leave to USDEL selection of points to be offered among those listed para 1(D), we suggest Baltimore be included as one

DRAFTED BY:	DRAFTING DATE	TEL. EXT.	APPROVED BY:
E/OA:AN:MHStyles	5/8/70	21232	E/TT - Frank E. Loy

CLEARANCES:
CAB - Mr. Watson
DOT - Mr. Binder
EUR/AIS - Mr. White

UNCLASSIFIED
LIMITED OFFICIAL USE
Classification

FORM DS-322

Department of State

TELEGRAM

INDICATE:
☐ COLLECT
☐ CHARGE TO

DISTRIBUTION

ACTION: Amembassy ROME PRIORITY
Amembassy LISBON

of six and, if necessary, later dropped for one of others. We are prepared reconsider a seventh point during talks next week if this absolutely necessary. Instructions on coterminalization remain the same, but we would ~~xxxx~~ hope to review coterminalization proposals before USDEL accepts them unless time does not permit.

3. PAA requests addition Syria as a beyond on US route. If necessary trade beyonds to do this, USDEL may delete Malaysia. USDEL should make this effort if feasible, but not as a condition in package.

4. Re US Document 7, PAA is concerned that wording appears ~~xxx~~ imply that, by mentioning only certain factors as pertinent on capacity questions, others covered by Bermuda clauses are excluded. We not clear whether this is correctable in terms Italian position. We rely on USDEL's judgment.

ROGERS

DRAFTED BY:	DRAFTING DATE	TEL. EXT.	APPROVED BY:

CLEARANCES:

FORM DS-322